arXiv:1101.0458v3 [gr-qc] 17 Jan 2012

Asymptotically flat gravitating spinor field solutions. Step 2 - the compatibility of Dirac equations in a curve and a flat spaces

Vladimir Dzhunushaliev
Institute for Basic Research, Eurasian National University,
Astana, 010008, Kazakhstan
and
Institute of Physics of National Academy of Science Kyrgyz Republic,
*265 a, Chui Street, Bishkek, 720071, Kyrgyz Republic**

(Dated: January 18, 2012)

Using the fact that a spin connection is defined to an accuracy of a vector it is shown that the spin connection should be modified in such a manner that Dirac equation in a curve space would be compatible with Dirac equation in a flat space.

PACS numbers: 04.40.-b
Keywords: curve space; Dirac equation

I. DIRAC EQUATION IN A CURVE SPACE

This note is the continuation of the Ref.[1]. There it was mentioned that at the moment in general relativity asymptotically flat solutions for a spinor field are unknown although do exist asymptotically flat solutions for all known fields: scalar and gauge fields. We continue the investigations in this direction and we will consider Dirac equation in a curve space. We will show that a spin connection should be modified by the addition of a vector in order to obtain correct Dirac equation in a flat space.

A covariant derivative of a spinor ψ is

$$\nabla_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi - \Gamma_\mu \psi \tag{1}$$

and the covariant derivative of a Dirac conjugated spinor $\bar{\psi}$ is

$$\nabla_\mu \bar{\psi} = \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} + \bar{\psi} \Gamma_\mu \tag{2}$$

where a spinor connection Γ_μ is defined from following equation (here we follow to Ref. [2], section 1.7.2)

$$\omega_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\mu} \gamma^{\bar{a}} \gamma^{\bar{b}} - \gamma_{\bar{a}} \Gamma_i \gamma^{\bar{a}} + 4\Gamma_\mu = 0. \tag{3}$$

where $\gamma^{\bar{a}}$ are Dirac matrixes in a flat (Minkowski) space, $\gamma^\mu = e_{\bar{a}}{}^{\mu} \gamma^{\bar{a}}$ are Dirac matrixes in a curve space. For the definition of tetrad, spin connection and so on we follow to Ref. [2]. The inverse tetrad $e_{\bar{a}}{}^{\mu}$ satisfies

$$e^{\bar{a}}{}_{\mu} e_{\bar{b}}{}^{\mu} = \delta^{\bar{a}}_{\bar{b}}, \tag{4}$$

$$e^{\bar{a}}{}_{\mu} e_{\bar{a}}{}^{\nu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}. \tag{5}$$

where $\bar{a}, \bar{b} = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are Lorentz indices; μ, ν are world indices. The metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ in a curve space is related to the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}$ through the tetrad

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{\bar{a}}{}_{\mu} e^{\bar{b}}{}_{\mu} \eta_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}. \tag{6}$$

The solution of (3) is

$$\Gamma_\mu = -\frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\mu} \gamma^{\bar{a}} \gamma^{\bar{b}} - A_\mu, \tag{7}$$

where A_μ is a spinor-tensor quantity with one vector index. Substituting (7) to (3) gives us the equation for A_μ

$$-\gamma_{\bar{a}} A_\mu \gamma^{\bar{a}} + 4A_\mu = 0. \tag{8}$$

---

*Email: vdzhunus@krsu.edu.kg

The simplest solution of this equation is: A_μ is an arbitrary vector multiple of I. Usually $A_\mu = 0$. *Here we would like to show that A_μ should be nonzero that the Dirac equation in a curve space would be consistent with the Dirac equation in a flat space.* In order to find such solution we rewrite (7) in following form

$$\Gamma_{\bar c} = e_{\bar c}{}^{\mu}\Gamma_\mu = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\bar a,\bar b}\omega_{\bar a\bar b\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar b} - A_{\bar c} = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\bar a,\bar b\neq\bar c}\omega_{\bar a\bar b\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar b} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\bar a\neq\bar c}\omega_{\bar a\bar c\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar c} - A_{\bar c} \tag{9}$$

here there is not summation over $\bar c$. In order to find $A_{\bar c}$ we calculate the term $\gamma^{\bar c}\Gamma_{\bar c}$

$$\gamma^{\bar c}\Gamma_{\bar c} = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\substack{c\\ a,b\neq c}}\omega_{\bar a\bar b\bar c}\gamma^{\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar b} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{c\\ a\neq c}}\omega_{\bar a\bar c}{}^{\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a} - \sum_{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar a}A_{\bar a} \tag{10}$$

here we calculated

$$\sum_{\substack{c\\ a\neq c}}\omega_{\bar a\bar c\bar c}\gamma^{\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar c} = -\sum_{\substack{c\\ a\neq c}}\omega_{\bar a\bar c}{}^{\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}. \tag{11}$$

We choose

$$A_{\bar a} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\bar c\neq\bar a}\omega_{\bar a\bar c}{}^{\bar c}. \tag{12}$$

It is easy to show that (12) is the solution of (8). Let us rewrite the term

$$\sum_{\substack{c\\ a,b\neq c}}\omega_{\bar a\bar b\bar c}\gamma^{\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar b} = \sum_{\substack{c\\ a,b\neq c}}\omega_{\bar a\bar b\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar b}\gamma^{\bar c}. \tag{13}$$

Finally we choose the spin connection Γ_μ in the form

$$\tilde\Gamma_\mu = -\frac{1}{4}e^{\bar c}{}_{\mu}\sum_{\bar a,\bar b}\omega_{\bar a\bar b\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar b} - \frac{1}{2}e^{\bar a}{}_{\mu}\sum_{\bar c\neq\bar a}\omega_{\bar a\bar c}{}^{\bar c} \tag{14}$$

here $\tilde\Gamma_\mu$ means that we use the Fock - Ivanenko coefficients $\omega_{\bar a\bar b\bar c}$ with $\bar a\neq\bar b, \bar b\neq\bar c, \bar a\neq\bar c$. Consequently one can write Dirac equation in a curve space in following form

$$i\gamma^\mu\tilde\nabla_\mu\psi - m\psi = i\gamma^\mu\left(\partial_\mu - \tilde\Gamma_\mu\right)\psi - m\psi = 0 \tag{15}$$

or

$$i\left(\tilde\nabla_\mu\bar\psi\right)\gamma^\mu - m\bar\psi = i\bar\psi\left(\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu + \tilde\Gamma_\mu\right)\gamma^\mu - m\bar\psi = 0 \tag{16}$$

for the Dirac conjugated spinor $\bar\psi$, here $\bar\psi\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu = \partial_\mu\bar\psi$. Usually Dirac equation is written as

$$i\gamma^\mu\nabla_\mu\psi = i\gamma^\mu\left(\partial_\mu\psi - \Gamma_\mu\right)\psi - m\psi = i\gamma^\mu\left(\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{4}\omega_{\bar a\bar b\mu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar b}\right)\psi - m\psi = 0. \tag{17}$$

In order to compare equations (15) and (17) we will write Dirac operators $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$ for both equations (15) and (17)

$$\mathcal{D}_1\psi = \left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + \frac{i}{4}\sum_{\bar a,\bar b,\bar c}\omega_{\bar a\bar b\bar c}\gamma^{\bar c}\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar b} + \frac{i}{2}\sum_{\bar b\neq\bar c,c}\omega_{\bar c\bar b}{}^{\bar b}\gamma^{\bar c} - m\right)\psi, \tag{18}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_2\psi = \left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu + \frac{i}{4}\sum_{\bar a,\bar b,\mu}\omega_{\bar a\bar b\mu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^{\bar a}\gamma^{\bar b} - m\right)\psi \tag{19}$$

in Minkowski space for the spherical coordinate system. For the calculations of $\omega_{\bar a\bar b\mu}$ we use following definitions from [2] (section 1.5.4)

$$\Lambda^{\bar a}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\nu e^{\bar a}{}_{\mu} - \partial_\mu e^{\bar a}{}_{\nu}\right) = -\Lambda^{\bar a}{}_{\nu\mu}, \tag{20}$$

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -\Lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \Lambda_{\gamma\alpha\beta} - \Lambda_{\beta\gamma\alpha} = -\omega_{\beta\alpha\gamma}, \tag{21}$$

$$\omega_{\bar a\bar b\mu} = e_{\bar a}{}^{\alpha}e_{\bar b}{}^{\beta}\omega_{\alpha\beta\mu} = -\omega_{\bar b\bar a\mu}. \tag{22}$$

The spinor ansatz we take in the simplest form

$$\psi = e^{i\Omega t}\begin{pmatrix} f(r) \\ 0 \\ ig(r)\cos\theta \\ ig(r)\sin\theta e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{23}$$

The metric is

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2\right). \tag{24}$$

The Dirac matrices for the spherical coordinate system (24) are

$$\gamma^{\bar{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{25}$$

$$\gamma^{\bar{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cos\theta & \sin\theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ 0 & 0 & \sin\theta e^{i\varphi} & -\cos\theta \\ -\cos\theta & -\sin\theta e^{-i\varphi} & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin\theta e^{i\varphi} & \cos\theta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

$$\gamma^{\bar{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sin\theta & \cos\theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ 0 & 0 & \cos\theta e^{i\varphi} & \sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & -\cos\theta e^{-i\varphi} & 0 & 0 \\ -\cos\theta e^{i\varphi} & -\sin\theta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{27}$$

$$\gamma^{\bar{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -ie^{-i\varphi} \\ 0 & 0 & ie^{i\varphi} & 0 \\ 0 & ie^{-i\varphi} & 0 & 0 \\ -ie^{i\varphi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{28}$$

The Fock - Ivanenko coefficients (22) are

$$\omega_{\bar{1}\bar{2}2} = 1, \quad \omega_{\bar{1}\bar{3}3} = \sin\theta, \quad \omega_{\bar{2}\bar{3}3} = \cos\theta. \tag{29}$$

For this case

$$\omega_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} = 0, \quad \text{with } \bar{a}, \bar{b} \neq \bar{c} \tag{30}$$

which we will use in (18). For the first case (18) we have

$$\mathcal{D}_1\psi = e^{i\Omega t}\begin{pmatrix} g'(r) + \frac{2}{r}g(r) + (m+\Omega)f(r) \\ 0 \\ i\left[-f' + (\Omega - m)g(r)\right]\cos\theta \\ i\left[-f' + (\Omega - m)g(r)\right]\sin\theta e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{31}$$

that is agreed with Dirac equation for an electron in hydrogen atom. But for the second case (19) we have

$$\mathcal{D}_2\psi = e^{it\Omega}\begin{pmatrix} -\left[g'(r) + \frac{3}{r}g(r) + (m+\Omega)f(r)\right] \\ -e^{i\varphi}\frac{\cot\theta}{2r}g(r) \\ -i\left[f'(r) + \frac{f(r)}{r} + (m-\Omega)g(r)\right]\cos\theta \\ i\left[-f'(r) + \frac{-3+\cos(2\theta)}{\cos\theta\sin\theta}\frac{f(r)}{4r} - (m-\Omega)g(r)\right]\sin\theta e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{32}$$

that obviously is not the necessary equation.

II. ENERGY - MOMENTUM TENSOR

According to Ref. [3] the energy - momentum tensor for the classical spinor field is [4]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2}\left[\bar{\psi}\gamma_{(\mu}\nabla_{\nu)}\psi - \nabla_{(\mu}\bar{\psi}\,\gamma_{\mu)}\psi\right] + g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_\psi, \tag{33}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\nabla_\mu\psi - \nabla_\mu\bar{\psi}\,\gamma^\mu\psi\right) - m\bar{\psi}\psi \tag{34}$$

here $a_{(\mu}b_{\nu)} = (1/2)(a_\mu b_\nu + a_\nu b_\mu)$ means the symmetrization. For our goal we change $\nabla \to \tilde{\nabla}$

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2}\left[\bar{\psi}\gamma_{(\mu}\tilde{\nabla}_{\nu)}\psi - \tilde{\nabla}_{(\mu}\bar{\psi}\,\gamma_{\mu)}\psi\right] + g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\psi, \tag{35}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\psi = \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\tilde{\nabla}_\mu\psi - \tilde{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\psi}\,\gamma^\mu\psi\right) - m\bar{\psi}\psi. \tag{36}$$

The calculation of the energy - momentum tensor $\tilde{T}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}$ with the spinor ansatz (23) and modified spin connection (14) gives us

$$\tilde{T}_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} = -\Omega\left[f(r)^2 + g(r)^2\right], \tag{37}$$

$$\tilde{T}_{\bar{1}\bar{1}} = -\left[g(r)f'(r) - f(r)g'(r)\right], \tag{38}$$

$$\tilde{T}_{\bar{1}\bar{4}} = \tilde{T}_{\bar{4}\bar{1}} = \left[\Omega f(r) - \frac{g(r)}{2r}\right]g(r)\sin\theta, \tag{39}$$

$$\tilde{T}_{\bar{2}\bar{2}} = \tilde{T}_{\bar{3}\bar{3}} = \frac{f(r)g(r)}{r}. \tag{40}$$

The current

$$J^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi \tag{41}$$

is

$$J^0 = f(r)^2 + g(r)^2, \tag{42}$$

$$J^3 = \frac{2f(r)g(r)}{r}. \tag{43}$$

From (37) and (42) we see that there is the energy and charge densities. From (43) we see that there is the current J^3 along φ direction leading to $\tilde{T}_{\bar{1}\bar{4}}$ component of energy - momentum (39). One can say that $\tilde{T}_{\bar{1}\bar{4}} \neq 0$ and $J^3 \neq 0$ is the consequence of a spin distinct from zero.

III. CONCLUSIONS

Thus we have shown that the standard definition of the spin connection in a curve space should be modified that the Dirac equation in a curve space would have correct limit in going from a curve space to a flat space. The modification of the spin connection to lie in the fact that to the standard spin connection is a vector added. So that the modified spin connection has Fock - Ivanenko coefficents with unequal indices only.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the Research Group Linkage Programme of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for the support of this research.

---

[1] V. Dzhunushaliev, "Asymptotically flat gravitating spinor field solutions. Step 1 - the statement of the problem and the comparison with confinement problem in QCD," arXiv:0910.3352 [gr-qc].
[2] Nikodem J. Popławski, "Spacetime and fields", arXiv:0911.0334.
[3] Tomas Ortin, "Gravity and Strings", Cambridge university press, 2004.
[4] It is necessary to note that the definition of Ricci tensors in Ref's [2] and [3] have the opposite sign.